### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

Chapter 11

DELPHI CORP, et al.,

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Jointly Administered

**Debtors** 

SECOND INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF
LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC. AS FEE AND EXPENSE ANALYST TO THE
DELPHI FEE AND EXPENSE REVIEW COMMITTEE, FOR ALLOWANCE OF
INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED FOR THE FOURTH FEE
APPLICATION PERIOD,
OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH AND INCLUDING JANUARY 31, 2007

#### SUMMARY SHEET

Name of Applicant:

Legal Cost Control, Inc.

Authorized to Provide

Professional Services to:

Delphi Fee Committee

Date of Retention: June 1, 2006

Period for which compensation

Is sought:

October 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007

Total Amount of Compensation sought As actual, reasonable and necessary,

During these cases:

\$480,804.16

Total amount of Expenses sought as

Actual, reasonable and necessary,

During these cases

\$0.00

This is an:

Interim Application.

The total time expended for the preparation of this application is approximately 10 hours and the corresponding compensation requested is \$0.00.

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

Chapter 11

DELPHI CORP, et al.,

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Jointly Administered

Debtors

SECOND INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC. AS FEE AND EXPENSE ANALYST TO THE DELPHI FEE COMMITTEE, FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED FOR THE FOURTH FEE APPLICATION PERIOD, OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH AND INCLUDING JANUARY 31, 2007

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Legal Cost Control, Inc. ("LCC"), Fee and Expense Analyst to the Delphi Fee Committee ("Fee Committee") respectfully represents:

- 1. LCC submits this Second Interim Application (the "Second Application") for (i) allowance of compensation in the amount of \$480,804.16 for services rendered by it as Fee and Expense Analyst to the Fee Committee during the period October 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007 (the "Fourth Application Period") and for (ii) allowance of actual and necessary out-of-pocket expenses in the amount of \$0.00 incurred by LCC in rendering such services to the Fee Committee.
- 2. This Second Application is being made pursuant to Sections 328(a), 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code; the Retention Order (as hereinafter defined), the Interim Compensation Order (as hereinafter defined), and Local Rule 2016-1 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

#### I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 3. On November 4, 2005, this Court entered the revised Interim Compensation Order pursuant to sections 105(a) and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code establishing procedures for monthly compensation and reimbursement of expenses for professionals.
- 4. On November 4, 2005 this Court entered the Fee Committee Order authorizing the creation of a Fee Committee to (a) establish procedures for the development and submission of budgets by Court-approved professionals, and (b) review the fees and expenses incurred by Court-approved professionals.
- 5. On June 1. 2006 the Fee Committee engaged LCC as Fee and Expense Analyst;
- 6. On August 17, 2006 the Court approved the appointment of LCC as Fee and Expense Analyst to the Fee Committee;
- 7. Professional services for which compensation is sought were rendered pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

#### II. THE LCC ENGAGEMENT

- 8. On June 1. 2006 the Fee Committee engaged LCC as Fee and Expense Analyst;
- 9. On August 17, 2006, this Court entered the Retention Order, authorizing the Fee Committee to employ LCC as the Fee and Expense Analyst. Specifically, LCC was retained to provide the following professional services: (a) Provide the Fee Committee with computer-generated analyses of the monthly statements and fee applications submitted by the Professionals in the case; (b) Report to the Fee Committee on the compliance or non-compliance of those monthly statements and fee applications with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the United States Trustees Guidelines, and the Local Rules and General Orders of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York; (c) Provided the Fee Committee with narrative reports to support and explain the issues associated with LCC's computer-generated analyses; (d) Provide the Fee Committee and Professionals with web-based access to LCC's analyses; (e) Meet on various occasions with the Fee Committee; (f) Provide general consulting services to the Fee Committee consistent with LCC's engagement by the Fee Committee; (g) Provide general support to the

Professionals, to assist the Professionals in the submission of their statements and fee applications to the Fee Committee, consistent with LCC's engagement by the Fee Committee; and (g) Generally support the efforts of the Fee Committee to complete the tasks of the Fee Committee;

10. Pursuant to the Engagement Agreement, the Fee Committee agreed to pay LCC the following fees in consideration for services rendered:

Eight-tenths of one percent (0.80%) of fees and expenses submitted by retained professionals in the Delphi cases.

#### III. SERVICES PROVIDED BY LCC

- 11. For and during the Fourth Application Period, LCC rendered the following services on behalf of the Fee Committee: (a) Provided the Fee Committee with computer-generated analyses of the monthly statements and fee applications submitted by the Professionals in the case; (b) Provided the Fee Committee and Professionals with web-based access to LCC's analyses; (c) Provided the Fee Committee with narrative reports to support and explain the issues associated with LCC's computer-generated analyses; (d) Met on various occasions with the Professionals in conjunction with the Fee Committee and/or Professional Staff of the Fee Committee; (e) Provided general consulting services to the Fee Committee consistent with LCC's engagement by the Fee Committee; (f) Provided general support to the Professionals, to assist the Professionals in the submission of their statements and fee applications to the Fee Committee, consistent with LCC's engagement by the Fee Committee; and (g) Generally supported the efforts of the Fee Committee to complete the tasks of the Fee Committee;
- 12. With regard to the services provided, LCC has never billed its clients based upon the number of hours expended by its professionals and paraprofessionals. Accordingly, LCC does not have hourly billing rates for its personnel nor do its personnel normally maintain detailed hourly time records for the work performed on behalf of its clients. Moreover, pursuant to its Engagement Agreement and the Retention Order, LCC is not required to maintain detailed time records as LCC has been retained on a fixed fee basis (as set forth below).

# IV. LCC'S SECOND APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION FOR FEES EARNED DURING THE FOURTH APPLICATION PERIOD

- 13. Pursuant to the (i) Order, inter alia, Authorizing Employment of Legal Cost Control, Inc. as Fee and Expense Analyst and Granting Related Relief, date August 17, 2006 (the "Retention Order"), (ii) Administrative Order Pursuant to Sections 105 (a) and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code Establishing Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals, dated January 17, 2002 (the "Interim Fee Order"), (iii) Order Supplementing Administrative Order Dated November 4, 2005 Establishing Procedures for Interim Compensation; and (iv) Paragraph A.3 of the Administrative Order Regarding Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements for Professionals in Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases dated June 20, 1991, LCC files this Second Fee Application requesting fees of \$480,804.16 and \$0.00 for actual and necessary expenses, for a total payment of \$480,804.16.
- 14. LCC's fees for the Billing Period have been calculated as follows:
  - For the period October 2006, LCC's Fee was \$213,952.10. See, Statement Of Fees And Disbursements Of Legal Cost Control, Inc. For The Period October 1, 2006 Through And Including October 31, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit "A;"
  - For November 2006, LCC's Fee was \$140,657.23. See, Statement Of Fees And Disbursements Of Legal Cost Control, Inc. For The Period November 1, 2006 Through And Including November 30, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit "B;"
  - For the period December 2006, LCC's Fee was \$68,636.71. See, Statement Of Fees And Disbursements Of Legal Cost Control, Inc. For The Period December 1, 2006 Through And Including December 31, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit "C:"
  - For the period January 2007, LCC's Fee was \$57,558.12.
     See, Statement Of Fees And Disbursements Of Legal Cost Control, Inc. For The Period January 1, 2007 Through And Including January 31, 2007, attached hereto as Exhibit "D;"
  - LCC's Total Second Interim Application Fee for services rendered during the Fourth Fee Application Period is therefore \$480,804.16.

- V. LCC'S SECOND APPLICATION FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES INCURRED DURING THE FOURTH APPLICATION PERIOD
- 15. LCC's fee is based upon a Fixed Fee. That Fixed Fee includes all actual, necessary and reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by LCC during the Fourth Application Period. Accordingly, LCC does not seek compensation or reimbursement of any out-of-pocket expenses that were incurred by LCC during the Fourth Application Period.

#### VI. SUMMARY

16. As of the date of this Second Application, LCC has received payment of 80% of its fees accrued and 0% of fees accrued through January 31, 2007. The following chart summarizes all fees included in this Second Application:

PERIOD(S)	<b>FEES</b>	<b>EXPENSES</b>	<b>AMOUNTS SOUGHT</b>
Oct. 1 – Oct. 31, 2006	\$213,952.10	\$0.00	\$213,952.10
Nov. 1 – Sept. 30, 2006	\$140,657.23	\$0.00	\$140,657.23
Dec. 1 – Dec. 31, 2006	\$68,636.71	\$0.00	\$68,636.71
Jan.1 – Jan. 31, 2007	\$57,558.12	\$0.00	\$57,558.12
TOTAL	\$480,804.16	\$0.00	\$480,804.16

- 17. All services for which LCC requests compensation were performed for and on behalf of the Fee Committee and were not rendered on behalf of any other person or entity.
- 18. There is currently no agreement or understanding between LCC and any other person or entity for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered in connection with these proceedings.

**WHEREFORE**, Legal Cost Control, Inc. requests the Court to approve the allowance of LCC's fee in the amount of \$480,804.16 for services rendered from October 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007.

#### LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC.

By: My Margues

John J. Marquess, Esquire (Admitted in New York Pro Hac Vice)

255 Kings Highway East Haddonfield, NJ 08033 (856) 216-0800

Dated: March 29, 2007

Haddonfield, NJ

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

Chapter 11

DELPHI CORP, et al.,

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Jointly Administered

**Debtors** 

#### **CERTIFICATION**

JOHN J. MARQUESS, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am President of Legal Cost Control, Inc.
- 2. I have read the foregoing Secondt Application for Allowance of Compensation of Legal Cost Control, Inc., Fee and Expense Analyst to the Delphi Fee Committee, and know the contents thereof. The same are true to the best of my knowledge, except as to matters therein alleged to be upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. I have personally performed and/or supervised many of the Fee and Expense Analyst services rendered by Legal Cost Control, Inc. and I am thoroughly familiar with all other work performed on behalf of the Fee Committee by the attorneys and paraprofessionals of the corporation.
- 3. All of the services for which Legal Cost Control, Inc. seeks compensation were performed for and on behalf of the Fee Committee and not on behalf of any other person or entity.
- 4. In accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a) and § 504 of the Bankruptcy Code, no agreement or understanding exists between the Applicant and

any other person for the sharing of compensation to be received in connection with the within cases.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are false, I am subject to punishment.

LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC.

Bv

ohn J. Marquess, Esquire

President

255 Kings Highway East, First Floor

Haddonfield, NJ 08033

(856) 216-0800

(856) 216-0800 Facsimile

Fee and Expenses Analyst

DATED: March 29, 2007

LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC. John J. Marquess, Esquire 255 Kings Highway East, Suite A-3 Haddonfield, NJ 08033 Telephone: 856.216.0800

Facsimile: 856.216.1736

Fee and Expense Analyst

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

Chapter 11

DELPHI CORP, et al.,

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Jointly Administered

**Debtors** 

#### AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW JERSEY )
(SS: COUNTY OF CAMDEN )

Jane Woods, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am a resident of the United States, over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to or interested in the above-captioned cases. I am employed by Legal Cost Control, Inc., Fee and Expense Analyst.

On the 29th of March 2007, I caused a copy of the following document:

SECOND INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF LEGAL COST CONTROL, INC., FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND EXPENSES INCURRED FROM OCTOBER 1, 2006 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2007,

to be served upon the parties identified on Exhibit <u>1</u> attached hereto by securely enclosing a true copy of the aforementioned document in a properly addressed wrapper and causing the same to be delivered into the custody of a Federal Express Representative for next business day delivery.

Jane Woods

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 29th day of March 2007

Notary Public My Commission Expires:

MARY ANN CUNNINGHAM NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JAN. 14, 2010 Sworn to and subscribed before me this 29 8 day of 1 2007.

### EXHIBIT "1"

OCTOBER 2006

. 1	05-4448		_		471			/29/0 P 12	7   <del>g 13</del>	Ente of 3 of	red (		9/07 15:	1		_			ument	
Totals	July 2006 20	Cantor Colburn, LLP	17	Totals	August 2006	July 2006	June 2006 13	May 2006 12	April 2006 11	March 2006 10	Ferbruary 2006	January 2006	and Graydon LLP	6	5	4 Totals	August 2006	Banner and Witcoff, Itd		CC'S THIRD
\$433,999.50	\$433,999.50			\$620,622.00	\$37,473.00	\$24,057.00	\$39,460.50	\$133,781.00	\$4,696.00	\$15,629.00	\$74,849.00	\$290,676.50				\$8,885.50	\$8,885.50			FFF
\$48,242.91	\$48,242.91			\$10,063.01	\$539.76	\$886.87		\$4,013.73	\$28.53	\$374.51	\$1,333.61	\$2,533.60				\$301.14	\$301.14			EXPENSES
\$482,242.41	\$482,242.41			\$630,685.01	\$38,012.76	\$24,943.87	\$39,812.90	\$137,794.73	\$4,724.53	\$16,003.51	\$76,182.61	\$293,210.10				\$9,186.64	\$9,186.64			TOTAL
	_			The state of the s		7		3			_					-	<del>-</del>		APPLIED AMOUNT	RETAINER
				A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O															WRITE OFF	DISCOUNT -
																			OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	DISCOUNTWRITE
\$385,793.93	\$385,793.93 The fees, expenses totals refeabove ref			\$504,548.01	\$30,410.2	\$19,955.10	\$31,850.32	\$110,235.78	\$3,779.62	\$12,802.81	\$60,946.08	\$234,568.08				\$7,349.31	\$7,349.31			I CC FFF
	3 The fees, expenses and totals referenced above reflect the			\$504,548.01 576592.7 USD	\$30,410.21 <b>\$35,861.10 USD</b>	\$19,955.10 <b>\$23,531.96 USD</b>	\$31,850.32 <b>\$37,559.34 USD</b>	\$110,235.78 <b>\$127,028.17</b> USD	\$3,779.62 <b>\$4,457.31 USD</b>	\$12,802.81 <b>\$15,263.51 USD</b>	\$60,946.09 <b>\$68,738.07 USD</b>	\$234,568.08 <b>\$264,153.24</b> USD				_				COMMENTS
\$482,242.41				576592.7 USD												\$9,186.64			Billed Totals	c

05-44481-rdd Doc 7471 Filed 03/29/07 Entered 03/29/07 15:28:12 Main Document

49	48	47	<u></u>	45	44	43	42	4	40	39	38	37	36 K	<u>ک</u> ک	9 2 8	1 33	4 33	of 3€	30	29	28	27	26	25	24		23	_	T
Totals	September 2006	_	July 2006	_			March 2006											Dickinson Wright PLLC			Totals	June 2006	November 2005		Touche LLP	Deloitte and			;
\$520,639.69	\$63,243.26	\$63,198.44	\$63,198.44	\$51,573.44	\$60,615.11	\$57,327.75	\$54,327.75	\$54,327.75	\$52,827.75					\$93,020.50	\$22,532.50	\$13,076.50	\$57,411.50				\$4,205,389.10	\$1,369,710.00	\$2,835,679.10		11.1		11.5		FFFO
\$4,563.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	6.00	\$0.00	\$295.37	\$282.42	\$1,670.77	\$2,314.64	\$0.00					\$2.863.47	\$179.74	\$1,655.63	\$1,028.10				\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						EYDENSES .
\$525,202.89	\$63,243.26	\$63,198.44	\$63,198.44	\$51,573.44	\$60,910.48	\$57,610.17	\$55,998.52	\$56,642.39	\$52,827.75		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			\$95,883.97	\$22,712.24	\$14,732.13	\$58,439.60				\$4,205,389.10	\$1,369,710.00	\$2,835,679.10						INTAI
																										÷		APPLIED	BETAINED
																							\$567,135.82					WRITE OFF	
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O					A STATE OF THE STA					A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A							A LA	reflects a reduction in fees for this monthly statement consistent with the engagement letter dated August 20, 2005, and the retention affidavitt of Brock E. Plumb		The state of the s		ALL PROPERTY OF THE P.	OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	DISCOUNTMRITE
\$420,162.31	\$50,594.61	\$50,558.75	\$50,558. ./	\$41,258.75	\$48,728.38	\$46,088.14	\$44,798.82	\$45,313.91	\$42,262.20					\$76,707.18	\$18,169.79	\$11.785.70	\$46,751.68				\$3,364,311.28	\$1,095,768.00	\$2,268,543.28						
		5	\$50,558.75 Note that the July and August amounts are identical - the fee applications indicate different months.			4.	2		0					33 (°	<b>W</b>	O	œ					0	Co						COMMENTS
\$525,202.89														\$95.883.97							\$4,205,389.10							Billed Totals	

,	FEES	EXPENSES	TOTAL	RETAINER	DISCOUNT -	DISCOUNT/WRITE	LCC FEE	COMMENTS	,
				APPLIED AMOUNT	WRITE OFF				Billed Totals
Fried, Frank,									
Harris, Shriver & Jacobson									
51 line 2006	\$598 265 00	\$5 451 63	\$603 716 63				\$482 973 30		
53 July 2006	\$630,250.00	\$16,722.75	\$646,972.75				\$517.578.20		
	\$469,218.50	\$44,400.51	\$513,619.01				\$410.895.21		
	\$608,781.50	\$35,046.35	\$643,827.85				\$515,062.28		
	\$2,306,515.00	\$101,621.24	\$2,408,136.24				\$1,926,508.99		\$2,408,136.24
57									
58									
59 FTI Consulting,									
August 2005	\$1,942,072.00	\$128,066.35	\$2,070,138.35		\$141,116.00 Fee	Fee	\$1,656,110.68		
60						Accommodation			
61 Totals	\$1,942,072.00	\$128,066.35	\$2,070,138.35				\$1,656,110.68		\$2,070,138.35
<del>36</del> 62	Annah men								
63									
Groom Law									
August 200	\$28,334.50	\$1,255.53	\$29,590.03		\$2,833.49	\$2,833.45 Less 10 Percent	\$23,672.02		
66 October 2006	\$20,507.50	\$1,408.89	\$21,916.39		\$2,050.75	\$2,050.75 Less 10 Percent	\$17,533.11		
67 Totals	\$48,842.00	\$2,664.42	\$51,506.42				\$41,205.14		\$51,506.42
68									
69					Color And Color				
Howard and									
70 Howard P.C.									
71 October 2005	\$34,057.00	\$9,106.20	\$43,163.20				\$34,530.56		
72 November 2005	\$17,833.00	\$6,981.70	\$24,814.70				\$19,851.76		
December 2005	\$25,847.00	\$2,447.85	\$28,294.85				\$22,635.88	\$22,635.88 Line item Expense	
74 January 2006	\$20,816.00	\$1,775.45	\$22,591.45		\$390.50	\$390.50 "prepaid balance"	\$18,073.16		
	\$49,977.00	\$1,399.35	\$51,376.35		\$16.00	\$16.00 "LESS PREPAID APPI IFD"	\$41,101.08		
76 March 2006	\$32,981.50	\$1,638.81	\$34,620.31				\$27,696.25	The state of the s	
	\$47,043.00	\$4,748.89	\$51,791.89		\$16.00	\$16.00 "LESS PREPAID APPLIED"	\$41,433.51		
78 May 2006	\$29,823.00	\$1,196.09	\$31,019.09				\$24.815.27		
	\$15,792.50	\$705.50	\$16,498.00				\$13 198 40		
			\$85,542.20				\$68,433,76		
	\$83,682.00	\$1,860.20	\$63,125.75				\$50,500.60		
	\$83,682.00 \$58,569.00	\$1,860.20 \$4,556.75					\$362,270.23		\$452,837.79
	\$83,682.00 \$58,569.00 \$416,421.00	\$1,860.20 \$4,556.75 \$36,416.79	\$452,837.79				**		

	98, /15.02 KPMG CHARGED A TOTAL OF \$424735.00 FOR	<del>(</del>	\$95,292.10 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES Enagagement Letter)	\$95,292.70		\$1,248,393.78	\$64,652.28	\$1,183,741.50	April 2006	113
	\$3,699,970.35 KPMG CHARGED  A TOTAL OF	\$3,69	\$678,253.50 Agreed upon Rate	\$678,253.50		\$4,624,962.94	\$231,875.44	\$4,393,087.50	March 2006	111
	\$293,833.40 KPMG CHARGED A TOTAL OF	\$29	\$9,119.40 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES	\$9,119.40		\$367,291.75	\$24,614.25	\$342,677.50	February 2006	110
	\$272,798.40 KPMG CHARGED	\$27	\$2,485.65 Agreed upon Rate	\$2,485.65		\$340,998.00	\$5,488.00	\$335,510.00	January 2006	
	\$98,238.80	\$9	\$8,961.60 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES Engagement Letter)	\$8,961.60		\$122,798.50	\$3,524.00	\$119,274.50	December 2005	
	\$203,321.20	\$20	\$22,359.76 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES Engagement Letter)/Less 50% Travel (633.75)	\$22,359.76	\$79,962.50	\$254,151.50	\$8,619.00	\$245,532.50	November 2005	
						-			KPMG LLP	105 106
÷	40T,1 00.0T	4				4	0,00	40.00	Cons	<u>\$</u>
¢118 /57 30	\$14,317.56	A 45				\$17,896.95	\$118 <b>4</b> 57 30	Flatt Fee	102 September 2006	2 2
	\$4,989.93		ALE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO			\$6,237.41	\$6,237.41	Flatt Fee		<u>1</u> 01
	\$3,970.72	40				\$4,963.40	\$4,963.40	Flatt Fee		100
	\$4,188.48	4	лан од при			\$5,235.60	\$5,235.60	Flatt Fee		99 99
	\$5,520.51	€	the state of the s			\$6,900.64	\$6,900.64	Flatt Fee		
	\$3,844.15	<b>4</b>				\$4,805.19	\$4,805.19	Flatt Fee	April 2006	16 97
	\$33,218.23	\$3				\$41,522.79	\$41,522.79	Flatt Fee	March 2006	
	\$6,574.19	€				\$8,217.74	\$8,217.74	Flatt Fee	February 2006	30 95
	\$8,557.13	<del>(A</del>				\$10,696.41	\$10,696.41	Flatt Fee	January 2006	
	\$5,754.78	€				\$7,193.48	\$7,193.48	Flatt Fee		
	\$2,955.77	49				\$3,694.71	\$3,694.71	Flatt Fee	Ğ	
	\$874.38					\$1,092.98	\$1,092.98	Flatt Fee		
				****					Jeffries and Company	90
								Linday Transit		89
										88
\$73,500.46	\$58,800.37	\$5				\$73,500.46	\$14,097.96	\$59,402.50	Totals	87
	\$58,800.37	\$5				\$73,500.46	\$14,097.96	\$59,402.50	October 2006	86
									Jaeckle, Fleischmann and Mugel, LLP	85
Billed Totals	Commen	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	WRITE OFF	APPLIED AMOUNT	Ş		6		_
	COMMENTS	100 555	DISCOLINTMINE	_	DETAINED	IATOT				

_	\$14,072.06 there was a duplicate invocie	\$14,072.00	_		ox -	\$17,590.07 OK	\$1,601.07	\$17,590.07	October 2005	130
									Price, Heneveld, Cooper, DeWitt and Litton, LLP	
							- LANGE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY		The state of the s	135
		and the same of th					AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON			134
\$546,917.11	9	\$437,533.69				\$546,917.11	\$138,798.61	\$408,118.50	Totals	133
	9	\$437,533.69	Line item expense write- offs	\$5,188.51		\$546,917.11	\$138,798.61	\$408,118.50	August 2006	132
				2					O Melveny &	3
										130
										129
\$488,824.00	0	\$391,059.20				\$488,824.00	\$8,213.00	\$480,611.00	Totals	128
	0	\$391,059.20			0	\$488,824.00	\$8,213.00	\$480,611.00	September 2006	127
							-		LLC	126
									Consulting,	
									Mesirow	
			COLOMBRIES C							9 925
										124
\$948,426.72		\$758,741.38				\$948,426.72	\$66,513.47	\$881,913.25	Totals	123
	CO	\$758,741.38				\$948,426.72	\$66,513.47	\$881,913.25	August 2006	122
		-							Latham and Watkins LLP	121
										120
										119
										128
\$10,981,025.65		\$8,784,820.52				\$10,981,025.65	\$629,187.65	Totals \$10,351,838.00	Totals	117
	\$192,112.40 KPMG CHARGED A TOTAL OF \$29670.00 FOR	\$192,112.4(	\$34,495.00 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES Enagagement Letter) and	\$34,495.00	_	\$240,140.50	\$24,572.00	\$215,568.50	August 2006	116
	\$495,284.57 KPMG CHARGED A TOTAL OF	\$495,284.57	\$11,454.60 Agreed upon Rate Discount (per IES	\$11,454.60		\$619,105.71	\$58,690.21	\$560,415.50	July 2006	
	A TOTAL OF \$37685.00 FOR	, 000 c	Discount (per IES Enagagement Letter)	<del>-</del>			000		CONTRACTOR	14
	\$20560.00 FOR \$2056 CHARGED	\$981 899 50	Discount (per IES Enagagement Letter) \$1.751.80 Agreed upon Rate	<b>\$</b> 1.751.80		\$1,227,374,40	\$95 596 40	<b>\$</b> 1 131 778 00	line 2006	113
	\$1,548,646.86 KPMG CHARGED	\$1,548,646.86	\$23,346.00 Agreed upon Rate	\$23,346.00		\$1,935,808.57	\$111,556.07	\$1,824,252.50	May 2006	
Billed Totals	COMMENTS	LCC FEE	DISCOUNT/WRITE OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	DISCOUNT - WRITE OFF AMOUNT	APPLIED AMOUNT	TOTAL	EXPENSES	FEES		<u></u>
			ļ			ţ	Т			L

	05-444				oc '							red 03/	29/0				_				_			cument	
159 160 March 2006	December 2005	158 November 2005	157 October 2005	Rader Fishman and Grauer LLP	155	154	153 Totals	152 July 2006	151 December 2005	949 November 2005	October 2005		Quinn, Emanuel	147	146 Totals	145 August 2006	144 July 2006	143 June 2006	142 May2006	141 April 2006	140 March 2006	139 January 2006	138 December 2005		
\$18,671.75	\$21,297.00	\$22,109.00	\$13,872.00		A DAMAGE MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	000000	\$30,228.00	\$4,461.00	\$51.00	\$22,591.50	\$3,124.50				\$313,561.07	\$55,131.00	\$33,948.00	\$47,705.50	\$49,526.00	\$33,302.00	\$27,459.50	\$38,081.00	\$10,818.00		FEES
\$21,530.18	\$15,045.30	\$14,884.14	\$14,483.20				\$1,843.20	\$592.82	\$72.36	\$906.24	\$271.78				\$18,908.48	\$1,968.68	\$2,438.50	\$2,862.17	\$2,250.64	\$1,431.61	\$2,906.79	\$2,598.36	\$850.66		EXPENSES 1
\$40,201.93 OK	\$36,297.00 OK	\$36,993.14	\$28,355.20 OK				\$32,071.20	\$5,053.82	\$123.36	\$23,497.74	\$3,396.28				\$330,868.48	\$57,099.68	\$36,386.50	\$50,567.67	\$51,776.64	\$34,733.61	\$30,366.29	\$40,679.36	\$11,668.66		TOTAL
Q X	Q X		웃					OK.	웃							S	웃	OK	Q	Q	읒	S	Q	APPLIED AMOUNT	RETAINER
	-																							WRITE OFF AMOUNT	DISCOUNT -
								-														-		OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	DISCOUNT/WRITE
\$32,161.54	\$29,037.60	\$29,594.51	\$22,684.16			The second secon	\$25,656.96	\$4,043.06	\$98.69	\$18,798.19	\$2,717.02				\$264,694.78	\$45,679.74	\$29,109.20	\$40,454.14	\$41,421.31	\$27,786.89	\$24,293.03	\$32,543.49	\$9,334.93		LCC FEE
	\$29,037.60 invoice for nov had dec dates so the the month of dec was overststed. I have		J,				3		<b>)</b>	\$1. Computational error - Fee statement is 1\$ \$18,798.19 Fee Statement and Data file are off by \$143.  Computational error - HIGHER	\$2,717.02 Fee Statement and Data file are off by							_		•					COMMENTS
	_						\$32,071.20								\$330,868.48								ALL ALL AND	Billed Totals	

188		187	186	185	줐	18:	18′	18	18	175	178	177	176	175	174	797	3	<u>7</u>	15	36 g	168	163	166	165	164	163	161	_		Т
Δ		7	3	Totals	184 August 2006	183 July 2006	182 June 2006	181 May 2006	180 April 2006	179 March 2006	178 February 2006	January 2006		1	Totals	प्र <sub>73</sub> August 2006	다 72 July 2006	June 2006	170 May 2006	March 2006	February 2006	Steven Hall			Totals	June 2006	2006	April 2006		
				\$1,940,856.00	\$208,623.50	\$182,678.50	\$256,264.00	\$220,126.50	\$217,441.50	\$328,794.50	\$280,596.50	\$246,331.00			\$685,124.91	\$41,260.00	\$52,510.00	\$83,773.75	\$24,660.00	\$173,305.00	\$309,616,16				\$155,137.75	\$37,605.50	618 848 50	\$22,734.00	TIES Co	TEED
				\$23,142.68	\$1,383.96	\$2,175.02	\$2,024.59	\$1,502.95	\$3,644.70	\$5,166.53	\$3,625.90	\$3,619.03			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			A TANADA MANAGEMENT TO THE STREET OF THE STR	\$119,181.89	\$11,676.62	¢25 723 <b>4</b> 3	\$15,839.02	EXPENSES	EVDENICES
				\$1,963,998.68	\$210,007.46	\$184,853.52	\$258,288.59	\$221,629.45	\$221,086.20 ok	\$333,961.03	\$284,222.40	\$249,950.03			\$685,124.91	\$41,260.00	\$52,510.00	\$83,773.75	\$24,660.00	\$173,305.00	\$309,616.16				\$274,274.34	\$49,282.12	\$44.571.03 OK	\$38,573.02	TOTAL	TOTA
				8	웃	2 ok	9 ok		O OK	3 ok	ok	0×				9k	O ok	웃	Ok		S S				-	0 S	<u></u> OS	Q Q	APPLIED AMOUNT	DETAINED
											\$238.50 Line															\$2,545.85	<u> </u>	\$102.00	WRITE OFF AMOUNT	7000
					Addition of Advisory of the Control	The state of the s					Line Item Credit															\$2,545.85 10%DISCOUNT/"Credit" (\$1268.35)		\$102.00 "Credit"	OFF DESCRIPTION PROVIDED BY FIRM	DISCOUNTAMBITE
	·			\$1,571,198.94	\$168,005.97	\$147,882.82	\$206,630.87	\$177,303.56	\$176,868.96	\$267,168.82	\$227,377.92	\$199,960.02			\$548,099.93	\$33,008.00	\$42,008.00	\$67,019.00	\$19,728.00	\$138,644.00	\$247,692,93				\$219,419.47	\$39,425.70	e35 657 5	\$30,858.4	CC	
				4	7	2	7	0	· σ	2	<b>  2</b>	N.			<b></b>	0	0	0	0	0	ω					\$39,425.70 this invoice looks out of balance but is not. There was \$1268.35 credit applied.	out of balance but is not. There was \$102 credit applied.	\$30,858.42 this invoice looks		OTHERENTO
				\$1,963,998.68	The state of the s										\$685,124.91										\$274,274.34	υ <u> </u>	_ · თ		Billed Totals	

05-44481-rdd Doc 7471 Filed 03/29/07 Entered 03/29/07 15:28:12 Main Document

AMOUNT PROVIDED BY FIRM
WRITE OFF OFF DESCRIPTION

## EXHIBIT "2"

### **NOVEMBER 2006**

LCC'S FOURTH MONTHLY STATEMENT - NOVEMBER 06	FEES	EXPENSES	TOTAL	DISCOUNT - WRITE OFF AMOUNT	DISCOUNT - WRITE OFF DISCRIPTION	LCC FEE	BILLED
ent							
函ake, Cassels and							
Graydon LLP			)				
September 2006	\$25,603.00	\$343.55	\$25,946.55				
TOTALS	\$25,603.00	\$343.55	\$25,946.55			\$207.57	\$25,946.55
Ма							
Butzel, Long							
October 2006	\$133,728.84	\$3,008.12	\$136,736.96	Line item credits totalled \$784.20			
TOTALS	\$133,728.84	\$3,008.12	\$136,736.96			\$1,093.90	\$136,736.96
071							
29/	A.A.A. A.B.B. S.COOP						
Deloitte and Touche LLP							
August 2006	\$212,609.50	\$0.00	40		\$42,521.90 per engagement letter		
Steprember 2006	\$23,596.00	\$0.00	\$23,596.00				
ip pals	\$236,205.50	\$0.00	\$236,205.50			\$1,889.64	\$236,205.50
7 g 22							
9/( P	11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1						
Dickinson Wright PLLC					The state of the s		
<b>W</b> ay 2006	\$66,733.00	\$5,428.47	\$72,161.47				
HOTALS	\$66,733.00	\$5,428.47	\$72,161.47			\$577.29	\$72,161.47
File							
Ernst & Young LLP							
為pril 2006	\$175,478.00	\$56,180.00	\$231,658.00				
August 2006	\$318,312.00	\$14,480.00	\$332,792.00				
TOTALS	\$493,790.00	\$70,660.00	\$564,450.00			\$4,515.60	\$564,450.00
rdd				The second secon			
B1-							
September 2006	\$2.384.810.06	\$155.574.67	\$2.540.384.73	\$213,969.0	13.969.00 Fee Accomodation		
TOTALS	\$2,384,810.06	\$155,574.67	\$2,540,384.73			\$20,323.08	\$ \$2,540,384.73
0							
Groom Law Group							

\$100,TE0.00	\$0,000.00	The American Control of the Control	\$ <del>1</del> 50,125.00	90,120,14	\$ <del>111</del> /,/20.00	CIALO
\$4E0 433 00	73 700 30		\$450,423.00	\$2,527.00	\$447,796.00	September 2006
			\$ A 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	83 637 00	\$ 1.17 700 000 000	Mesirow Financial Consulting, LLC
						4448
41.1,000.1	<b>*</b> 0,110.7	1000	<b>*</b> 7 7,000.7 1	\$04,101.A.	\$1 TE,801.00	1-8
\$777 088 71	¢6 246 74		\$777 D&& 74	\$24 4F4 94	\$740 027 ED	dd A
	7	LCC reviewed \$34,151.21 in expenses. The firm provided line item expense credits of \$737.98	\$777,088.71 L \$ fi	\$34,151.21	\$742,937.50	Doc 7471 September 2006
						Eatham and Watkins LLP
						03/2
						29/G
\$18,651.00	\$149.21		\$18 651 00	\$0.00	\$18 651 00	HOUNT
		LCC reviewed fees of \$18,651.00 and expenses of \$3,325.00. LCC did not review or charge for review of the "flat fee" charges.	\$18,651.00 E	\$0.00	\$18,651.00	Entered 03/29/0% 123 of 36 fember 2006
						SPMG LLP
\$45,/U3.5/	\$/65.63		\$95,703.57	\$1,234.57	\$88,469.00	2 TOTALS
			\$44,136.39	\$3,978.89	\$40,157.50	Actober 2006
			\$51,567.18	\$3,255.68	\$48,311.50	Sep-06
						Attorneys, P.C.
						umen
\$165,020.49	\$1,320.16		\$165,020.49	\$5,829.09	\$159,191.40	TOTALS
		\$5,302.10 10% Discount	\$49,059.49	\$1,340.59	\$47,718.90	July 2006
		\$3,764.30 10% Discount	\$37,501.85	\$273.85	\$37,228.00	January 2006
	oices)	\$1,592.75 10% Discount (2 invoices)	\$78,459.15	\$4,214.65	\$74,244.50	December 2005

\$86,047.50	\$688.38		\$86,047.50	\$0.00	\$86,047.50	TOTALS
	A SELECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	and the second s	\$52,162.50	\$0.00	\$52,162.50	September 2006
			\$33,885.00	\$0.00	\$33,885.00	August 2006
						44 44 44 44
						-rdd
\$11,429,570.44	\$91,436.56		\$11,429,570.44	\$841,955.49	\$10,587,614.95	TOTALS
		Line item expense credits of \$378.97	\$2,727,766.97	\$108,300.97	\$2,619,466.00	900 Poctober 2006
		Line item expense credits of \$4,719.58		\$132,681.58	\$2,082,051.00	Peptember 2006
		\$4,993.99.	\$2,707,240.00	\$181,570.00	\$2,525,670.00	August 2006
		Line item credits totalled \$22,752.70	\$3,726,204.70	\$413,102.70	\$3,313,102.00	3/29/ <b>9</b> 7 Pag 2006
						Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP
				And and a second		ntere f 36
					Administration of the state of	ed (
\$65,356.64	\$522.85	0.000	\$65,356.64	\$2,942.14	\$62,414.50	POTALS
			\$65,356.64	\$2,942.14	\$62,414.50	October 2006
						Shearman and Sterling
						15:28
\$47,288.72	\$378.31		\$47,288.72	\$2,917.72	\$44,371.00	TOTALS
			\$47,288.72	\$2,917.72	\$44,371.00	September 2006
						Price, Heneveld, Cooper, BeWitt and Litton, LLP
						ocume
\$173,224.81	\$1,385.80		\$173,224.81	\$64,069.56	\$109,155.25	<b>EDTALS</b>
			\$173,224.81	\$64,069.56	\$109,155.25	O Melveny & Myers LLP September 2006

### EXHIBIT "3"

### DECEMBER 2006

	ון פרו		TOT A	DISCOUNT MIDITE		I CO EEE	
STATEMENT - DECEMBER 06	7 1 1 0	EAFENGES	2	OFF AMOUNT	OFF DISCRIPTION	TCC 7 FF	TOTALS
Blake, Cassels and Graydon							
Sctober 2006	89668.5 (CAD)	506.44(CAD)	\$82,729.30				
r Firm - CDN		506.44(CAD)	\$82,729.30	Note: column D for Blake represents the conversion from		\$661.83	\$82,729.30
ain				CAD to Cop			
Ma							
BUCK COllegia			200				
trane	\$93,189.00	\$0.00	\$93,189.00				
Aliquet 2006	\$15,680.00	\$0.00	\$15.680.00				
September 2006	\$3,616.00	\$0.00	\$3,616.00				
Øctober 2006	\$4,936.00	\$0.00	\$4,936.00				
Sub-Total for Firm	\$129,949.00	\$0.00	\$129,949.00			\$1,039.59	\$129,949.00
red 6							
Antice on a							
Sebtember 2006	\$119,419.70	\$849.39	\$120,269.09				
Sub Total for Firm	\$119,419.70	\$849.39	\$120,269.09			\$962.15	\$120,269.09
9/0 P							
3/29							
Cadwalader Wickersham and							
Haft, LLP							
August 2006	\$11,120.00	\$462.91	\$11,582.91				
September 2006	\$1,995.00	\$9.15	\$2,004.15				
Sub-Total for Firm	\$13,115.00	\$472.06	\$13,587.06			\$108.70	\$13,587.06
oc 7							
D							
Cantor Colburn, LLP	\$292 547 00	\$48 641 14	\$341 188 14				
Sub-Total for Firm	\$292,547.00	\$48,641.14	\$341,188.14			\$2,729.51	\$341,188.14
48							
-44							
<b>Covington and Burling LLP</b>							
August 2006	\$38,719.50	\$1,211.87	\$39,931.37				

				\$23,376.31	\$132.51	\$23,240.00	Oct-06
				2000			9
			I COCIACA AIIA ICAICACA				
			2				
			on the amount				
			b,c,&d. LCC only bills				D5
			amounts in column				-4
			ine data file totals the				44
-			\$1,408.89 expenses.				81
			\$20,507.50 fees -				rc
			fee statement =	\$17,396.33	\$925.83	\$16,470.50	September 2006
							Groom Law Group
							00
							7
							74
\$2,407,442.84	\$19,259.54			\$2,407,442.84	\$192,715.84	\$2,214,727.00	Sub-Total for Firm
	+	,921.00 Fee Accommodation	\$172,921.00	\$2,407,442.84	\$192,715.84	\$2,214,727.00	October 2006
							刊 Consulting, Inc.
							ed
							03
							3/2
\$600,160.28	\$4,801.28			\$600,160.28	\$26,875.78	\$573,284.50	Sub-Total for Firm
				\$600,160.28	\$26,875.78	\$573,284.50	07 g Oct-06
							JaçQbson
				:			न्तिं। स्थि, Frank, Harris, Shriver &
							te 3
							re 6
							d (
\$892,935.20	\$7,143.48			\$892,935.20	\$61,667.00	\$831,268.20	Soub-Total for Firm
				\$476,514.20	\$15,705.00	\$460,809.20	September 2006
				\$416,421.00	\$45,962.00	\$370,459.00	<b>M</b> e 2006
							Ernst & Young LLP
							.5:
							28
						,	:1
\$17,261.24	\$138.09			\$17,261.24	\$993.24	\$16,268.00	ֆաb-Total for Firm
				\$17,261.24	\$993.24	\$16,268.00	November 2006
							四ckinson Wright PLLC
							in
							Dα
							Cl
\$287,062.76	\$2,296.50			\$287,062.76	\$14,190.76	\$272,872.00	Sub-Total for Firm
				\$66,244.82	\$3,857.32	\$62,387.50	Œtober 2006
			reviewed.				t
			bills for the amount				
			\$2,551.60. LCC only				
			for Sept is short by				-
			one of the data files	\$180,886.57	\$9,121.57	\$171,765.00	September 2006

\$851.10	\$6.81		\$851.10	\$353.60	\$497.50	Sub-Total for Firm
			\$360.13	\$24.63	\$335.50	September 2006
			\$490.97	\$328.97	\$162.00	August 2006
						Guinn, ⊏manuel Orqunart, ∰iver and Hedges LLP
						rdc
				ŗ		1 1
\$268,755.09	\$2,150.04		\$268,755.09	\$452.59	\$268,302.50	Sub-Total for Firm
			\$268,755.09	\$452.59	\$268,302.50	Şeptember 2006
						Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw
						File
\$775,125.43	\$6,201.00		\$775,125.43	\$56,113.93	\$719,011.50	Sub-Total for Firm
			\$775,125.43	\$56,113.93	\$719,011.50	October 2006
						Safham and Watkins LLP
						)7 g 2
						E 29 (
\$/1,4/8.68	\$5/1.83		\$71,478.68	\$1,370.68	\$70,108.00	Sub-Total for Firm
			\$71,478.68	\$1,370.68	\$70,108.00	Octaber 2006
						KPMG LLP
						13/
						29/
			<b>***</b>	<b>4</b> =0,00=10.	40.00	
\$20.032.81	\$160.26		\$20,032,81	\$20,032,81	\$0.00	Sub-Total for Firm
			\$10,100.90	\$10,160.90 \$2,147.34	\$0.00	November 2006
			\$1,716.57	\$7,716.57	\$0.00	October 2006
						Jefferies and Company
						N
						lar
\$124,300.32	00.466¢		\$124,300.32	\$20,113.3 <b>2</b>	<b>\$103,303.00</b>	Sub-local for Firm
360 33	500		\$62,065.29	\$8,015.29	\$54,050.00	November 2006
			\$62,295.03	\$12,760.03	\$49,535.00	Oct-06
						Nagel, LLP
						Jaeckle, Fleischmann and
\$40,//4.0 <del>4</del>	07.07¢¢		\$4U,//4.04	<b>\$1,030.34</b>	\$39,7 to.50	SUD-10tal for Firm
¢40 774 84	#228 20 0		FAU 774 84	64 OEB 24	03 21C 0CD	O.L Tatal for Cinn

\$68,636.71	TOTAL LCC FEE				
\$8,579,588.49	TOTAL FEES				
					0
\$652,248.18	\$5,217.99	\$652,248.18	\$16,226.93	\$636,021.25	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$20,759.40	\$510.65	\$20,248.75	Huly 2006
		\$36,046.32	\$4,115.82	\$31,930.50	ਵੀਂ une 2006
		\$65,189.35	\$4,606.85	\$60,582.50	<u>₩</u> ay 2006
		\$126,809.77	\$1,442.27	\$125,367.50	April 2006
		\$62,242.81	\$324.31	\$61,918.50	March 2006
		\$53,530.66	\$1,831.16	\$51,699.50	February 2006
		\$64,581.80	\$1,610.80	\$62,971.00	December 2005
		\$223,088.07	\$1,785.07	\$221,303.00	November 2005
					and Dorr LLP
					Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale
					-il <b>e</b>
					ed
\$148,396.98	\$1,187.18	\$148,396.98	\$10,044.48	\$138,352.50	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$33,262.31	\$196.31	\$33,066.00	September 2006
		\$27,184.58	\$2,042.08	\$25,142.50	August 2006
		\$32,955.22	\$2,983.72	\$29,971.50	ਸੁਮਾ <b>ਰ</b> 2006
		\$54,994.87	\$4,822.37	\$50,172.50	July 2006
					Warner Stevens, LLP
					ite 3
					ere 6
					d (
\$78,843.06	\$630.74	\$78,843.06	\$2,712.56	\$76,130.50	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$36,794.50	\$1,415.50	\$35,379.00	Kovember 2006
		\$42,048.56	\$1,297.06	\$40,751.50	Actober 2006
					LLP
					打hompson Hine and Flory,
	The state of the s				28
					B:1
			$\vdash$		2
\$2,312,963.28	\$18,503.71	\$2,312,963.28	\$150,673.64	\$2,159,966.00	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$2,312,963.28	\$150,673.64	\$2,159,966.00	<b>Æ</b> ily 2006
					& Flom LLP
					Skadden Arps Slate Meagher
					oci
					ım
					er
\$185,220.40	\$1,481.76	\$185,220.40	\$185,220.40	\$0.00	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$44,818.25	\$44,818.25	\$0.00	September 2006
		\$87,794.72	\$87,794.72	\$0.00	August 2006
		\$52,607.43	\$52,607.43	\$0.00	June 2006
					Rothschild Inc

### EXHIBIT "4"

JANUARY 2007

LCC'S SIXTH MONTHLY F	FEES	EXPENSES	TOTAL DIS	SCOUNT -	DISCOUNT -	LCC FEE	BILLED
STATEMENT -				WRITE OFF	WRITE OFF		TOTALS
Banner and Witcoff. Ltd							
क्षाne 2006	\$14,075.00	\$738.83	\$14,813.83				
<b>新</b> ly 2006	\$9,969.50	\$34.50	\$10,004.00				
September 2006	\$17,401.00	\$148.63		A CALL OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			
Sctober 2006	\$13,421.50	\$0.99					
November 2006	\$39,909.50	\$25.34					
<mark>ற</mark> ecember 2006	\$23,968.00	\$429.19	\$24,397.19				
Sub-Total for Firm	\$118,744.50	\$1,377.48	\$120,121.98			\$960.98	98 \$120,121.98
2							
28:1							
Balake. Cassels and Gravdo	in LLP						
November 2006	\$34,617.00	\$1,092.77	\$35,709.77				
Sub-Total for Firm		\$1,092.77	\$35,709.77			\$285.68	68 \$35,709.77
3/29							
03							
Sutzei, Long				A LANGUAGE OF THE PERSON OF TH			
Mowember 2006	\$93,257.97	\$1,432.27	\$94,690.24				
Sub-Total for Firm	\$93,257.97	\$1,432.27	\$94,690.24			\$757.52	52 \$94,690.24
7 3	The Annual Property of the Control o						
9/0 <sup>-</sup> Pg		1.				A CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL CA	
Covington and Burling LLP	J						
<b>K</b> ovember 2006	\$57,426.00	\$1,376.76	\$58,802.76	- In the second			
Sub-Total for Firm	\$57,426.00	\$1,376.76	\$58,802.76			\$470.42	42 \$58,802.76
1	ALAA MARKATA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAA						
TLA Piper US LLP							
CCIODEL VOCA	\$0,440.00	\$5.00 \$5.00			MINISTER PROPERTY.		
Boomber 2005	\$8,659.00	\$0.40	\$4,654.40				
January 2006	\$11.713.00	\$303.90	69		-		
Eebruary 2006	\$14,734.50	\$1.20					
<b>March 2006</b>	\$43,548.00	\$1,157.10	\$44,705.10			and the state of t	Addition of the face of the fa
<b>₩</b> pril 2006	\$24,962.00	\$151.20	\$25,113.20		The same of the sa		
<b>ो</b> गवy 2006	\$68,065.25	\$344.70					
Hune 2006	\$89,228.00	\$1,399.23	\$90,627.23				
9uly 2006	\$108,549.50	\$3,401.30	€				
August 2006	\$18,285.50	\$2,710.14	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				
September 2006	\$1,973.50	\$177.64	\$2,151.14				
November 2006	\$22,080.50	\$3,724.14	\$25,804.64				

\$133,134.96	\$1,065.08			\$133,134.96	\$740.04	\$132,394.92	Sub-Total for Firm
				\$09,091.70	\$/40.04	\$09,101.00	December 2006
				\$69,891.70	VO 074	\$50 151 55 00,E	Document 2006
and the second s				\$63.243.26	\$0.00	\$63 243 26	November 2006
							ਰੋones Lang LaSalle
	The same of the sa	ALL COLORS OF THE PROPERTY OF			The state of the s		14
				The state of the s		A COARTY IV	81
						A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	-rc
\$33,874.09	\$270.99			\$33,874.09	\$4,260.09	\$29,614.00	Sub-Total for Firm
		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		\$33,874.09	\$4,260.09	\$29,614.00	December 2006
						rneys, P.C.	Roward and Howard Attorneys, P.C.
				and the second s	-		C /
					- An Adequation was		4/
, ,					<b>4</b> -j	***************************************	
\$37.385.56	\$299.08			\$37.385.56	\$2.721.56	\$34,664,00	Sub-Total for Firm
		billed are \$4746.15					-iled
		\$527.35 10% tee discount / fees	\$527.	\$6,968.59	\$1,695.09	\$5,273.50	分ecember 2006
		\$26,451.45				and the state of t	29,
		billed are					/07 Pg
		discount / fees	j			1	33
	The state of the s	\$2.939.05 10% fee	\$2,939	\$30.416.97	\$1,026,47	\$29,390,50	November 2006
							aw Croin
							ed O
\$1,818,362.73	\$14,546.90			\$1,818,362.73	\$141,938.97	\$1,676,423.76	Sub-Total for Firm
				\$1,818,362.73	\$141,938.97	\$1,676,423.76	November 2006
							FTI Consulting, Inc.
							:28:1
\$534,121.16	\$4,272.97			\$534,121.16	\$13,289.16	\$520,832.00	Sub-Total for Firm
Adv. Address and Printers			TO A THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE	\$534,121.16	\$13,289.16		November 2006
						er & Jacobson	tried, Frank, Harris, Shriver &
\$192,171.60	\$1,537.37			\$192,171.60	\$18,575.00	\$173,596.60	Sub-Total for Firm
				\$192,171.60	\$18,575.00	\$173,596.60	<b>W</b> ay 2006
							Ernst & Young LLP
\$436,423.25	\$3,491.39	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		\$436,423.25	\$13,605.50	\$422,817.75	Sub-Total for Firm

August 2006	Rader Fishman and Grauer LLP	05	5-44	Sub-Total for Firm	1-rdd Doc 7471 Factors over 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947	Mesirow Financial Consulting, LLC	03/29/6	Sup Total for Firm	November 2006	Latham and Watkins LLP	tere f 36	d (	Sub-Total for Firm	729/07 15:28:12 Main Document November 2006	KPMG I I P
\$40,691.00				\$288,583.00	\$288,583.00	ing, LLC		\$791.322.00	\$791 322 00	- ALMANDATION			\$1,853,496.60	\$1,900,366.60	
\$25,026.02		Account of the contract of the		\$11,665.00	\$11,665.00			\$36.856.54	\$36 856 54	***************************************			\$142,821.46	\$142,821.46	
\$65,717.02				\$300,248.00	\$300,248.00			\$828.178.54	\$828 178 54				\$1,996,318.06	\$2,043,188.06	
					\$4,110.00 Firm billed client \$284,473 for fees. This is due to a reduction of \$4,110 for Less Travel time @ 1/2 billing rates.									\$689,184.20 Firm billed client \$1,211,182.40 in fees due to "Adjusted Discounted Fees" See Exhibit A in fee statement. ("Voluntary Reduction in Fee Preparation" = \$56,274.10; "Agreed upon rate discount" = \$632,910.10.	
				\$2,401.98				\$6.625.43					\$15,970.54	·	
				\$300,248.00				\$828,178.54					\$1,996,318.06		

\$105,575.50	40.070.04	\$109,579.90	\$2,000.30	\$106,716.00	Sub-lotal for Firm
\$400 F70 00	***************************************	\$Z1,44Z.04	\$730.04	\$20,712.50	December 2006
		\$17,990.07	\$100.01	# - 7 , 7 O 7 . 0 O	September 2000
		\$17 000 37	\$222 87	\$17 767 50	September 2008
		\$7,256,65	\$499.15	\$6,757.50	August 2006
	-	\$62,890.42	\$1,411.92	\$61,478.50	January 2006
				Pickering, Hale and Dorr LLP	Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Ha
			THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERS		148
					31-r
		3		,	d
\$122,625.69	\$981.01	\$122,625.69	\$486.19	\$122,139.50	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$122,625.69	\$486.19	\$122,139.50	October 2006
					्रिgut, Segal & Segal LLP
		The state of the s			C
					74
					71
\$298,037.11	\$2,384.30	\$298,037.11	\$41,734.36	\$256,302.75	Sub-Total for Firm
THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF		\$29,658.64	\$1,669.14	\$27,989.50	开ecember 2006
	The state of the s	\$13,642.15	\$1,185.65	\$12,456.50	Agugust 2006
		\$16,870.65	\$4,515.90	\$12,354.75	<b>July 2006</b>
		\$28,106.95	\$5,163.45	\$22,943.50	洲ne 2006
		\$25,554.60	\$2,327.60	\$23,227.00	<b>财</b> 超 2006
		\$15,107.18	\$1,908.18	\$13,199.00	<b>数</b> 牌2006
		\$29,005.11	\$5,046.11	\$23,959.00	Maksh 2006
		\$41,277.25	\$3,836.75	\$37,440.50	Elebruary 2006
		\$36,812.30	\$4,529.30	\$32,283.00	. <b>த</b> ிழ்ary 2006
		\$29,614.70	\$8,324.70	\$21,290.00	December 2005
		\$24,722.55	\$2,309.55	\$22,413.00	November 2005
		\$7,665.03	\$918.03	\$6,747.00	
		The state of the s			and Flory,
					9/0
				E III. A P	7 1
<b>*</b> 101,1		4 C., N	\$J,532.00	\$20,010.00	5
\$400 040 8F	6917 69	\$103 310 85	90, 104.Z1	\$06,407.70	December 2000
		\$70,642.04	\$3,067.04		September 2006
		911000	9000		Shearman and Sterling LLP
				1	Ма
				La Ga	iin
and the state of t					Do
\$45,287.89	\$362.30	\$45,287.89	\$45,287.89	\$0.00	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$45,287.89	\$45,287.89	\$0.00	म्ब्राy 2006
					Rothschild Inc
\$206,805.92	\$1,654.45	\$206,805.92	\$76,681.92	\$130,124.00	Sub-Total for Firm
		\$77,847.87	\$26,414.87	\$51,433.00	September 2006

05-44481-rdd	Doc 7471	Filed 03/29/07 Entered 03/29/07 15:28:12 Pg 36 of 36	Main Document			
		. 9				
				ТОТА	TOTA	
				L LCC F	TOTAL FEES	
				\$57,558.12	\$7,194,765.39	
				3.12	.39	